
Bad Breaks

Naga Yalla^{1*}

¹ Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism, and Lipid Research, Washington University, St. Louis, MO.

* Address correspondence to this author at: Washington University in St. Louis, Campus Box 8127, 660 South Euclid Ave., St. Louis, MO 63110-1093. Fax 314-262-7641; e-mail nyalla@dom.wustl.edu

CASE DESCRIPTION

A 58-year-old Caucasian woman was referred to the University Bone Health Program for evaluation. Her past medical history was significant for Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) surgery 10 years previously, following which she lost 100 pounds, but she regained weight thereafter, for a net weight loss of 20 pounds (current body mass index 44 kg/m²). In addition, she had a history of hypothyroidism, osteoarthritis, and esophageal ulcers. Eight months before her visit, she sustained bilateral pubic rami fractures following a fall from standing height. A few months later, she complained of worsening pelvic pain. At that time, a magnetic resonance image revealed sacral insufficiency fractures. She was diagnosed with vitamin D deficiency (25-OH vitamin D concentration <13 ng/mL [30–100 ng/mL]) and hypocalcemia (total serum calcium 8.1 mg/dL [8.6–10.4 mg/dL] with normal serum albumin). She had been taking 1200 mg of calcium citrate per day, but 50000 IUs of vitamin D (cholecalciferol) once weekly was prescribed in addition. On the basis of a presumed diagnosis of osteoporosis, she was also prescribed 150 mg of ibandronate (Boniva®) once monthly.

Her reproductive history included menarche at age 14 and a hysterectomy at age 33, for which she had taken hormone replacement therapy ever since (Premarin® 1.25 mg daily). Other pertinent medications included omeprazole (a proton pump inhibitor).

A review of systems was positive for a constellation of symptoms, including diffuse dull aching bony pain, fatigue, decreased muscle strength, and increasing gait instability with frequent falls.

Her laboratory tests revealed a microcytic anemia, with subsequent iron studies confirming iron deficiency. Pertinent laboratory test results are listed in Table 1. Of note, her vitamin B12, vitamin A, folic acid, and zinc concentrations were within the respective reference intervals.

Bone densitometry determined by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry revealed the following T-scores: lumbar spine (L1–L4) was -0.7, total hip was -1.2, and left femoral neck was -1.2. For diagnostic purposes, values above -1.0 are considered normal, from -1.0 to -2.5 suggest osteopenia, and less than -2.5 suggest osteoporosis.

Obesity has emerged as a global epidemic. In the US alone, 1 in 20 adults suffers from extreme obesity, defined as a body mass index >40 kg/m² (1). Bariatric surgery has emerged as an effective treatment for patients with severe obesity, often producing durable weight loss and attendant improvements in the metabolic complications associated with obesity

(including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and obstructive sleep apnea), as well as a decrease in mortality.

Bariatric surgery procedures are generally classified as being restrictive (e.g., sleeve gastrectomy and adjustable gastric banding) or malabsorptive [e.g., biliopancreatic diversions (BPD)]. RYGB is considered to be a combination of restrictive and malabsorptive procedures.

The RYGB involves the creation of a 30-mL proximal gastric pouch that is anastomosed directly to the proximal jejunum, thus bypassing the greater portion of the stomach and duodenum. This results both in a narrow anastomotic outlet, which serves to restrict caloric intake, and a substantial decrease in the intestinal surface area available for caloric absorption. In the long term, however, the procedure often results in the malabsorption of necessary minerals and fat-soluble vitamins (2).

Sleeve gastrectomies are a relatively new procedure, but have surpassed RYGB as the most popular bariatric technique (3). Though bariatric surgery appears to be beneficial from a cardiometabolic standpoint, there is emerging concern regarding the negative impact of these surgeries on long-term skeletal health, particularly malabsorptive and mixed procedures like RYGB. Recent studies have demonstrated an increase in bone turnover markers and declines in bone mineral density following these procedures (2, 4). Initially, bone loss was attributed primarily to nutritional deficiencies (which can range from malabsorption to frank osteomalacia) and the effects of skeletal unloading due to weight loss. However, in the last decade, pioneering work by bone biologists has pointed to a complex “cross talk” among adipocytes, the skeletal system, and the gastrointestinal system. These neurohormonal changes have been implicated as potential mediators of bone loss (2, 4).

QUESTIONS TO CONSIDER

- What is the most likely diagnosis in this patient?
- What mechanisms explain the effects of bariatric surgery on skeletal health?
- What is the best long-term management of this patient?

Table 1. Initial laboratory results.

Test (reference interval)	Result
Serum calcium (8.6-10.4 mg/dL)	8.7
Parathyroid hormone (10-65 pg/mL)	175
25-OH vitamin D (30-100 ng/mL)	28
Alkaline phosphatase (33-130 IU/L)	182
24-h urine calcium (25-300 mg/day)	108

References

1. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Ogden CL. Prevalence of obesity and trends in the distribution of body mass index among US adults, 1999 –2010. *JAMA* 2012;307:491–7.
2. Folli F, Sabowitz BN, Schwesinger W, Fanti P, Guardado-Mendoza R, Muscogiuri G. Bariatric surgery and bone disease: from clinical perspective to molecular insights. *Int J Obes* 2012;36:1373–9.
3. Estimate of Bariatric Surgery Numbers, 2011–2015. American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery. <https://asmbs.org/resources/estimate-of-bariatric-surgerynumbers> (Accessed March 2017).
4. Yu EW, Bouxsein ML, Roy AE, Baldwin C, Cange A, Neer RM, et al. Bone loss after bariatric surgery: discordant results between DXA and QCT bone density. *J Bone Miner Res* 2014;29:542–50.

Final Publication and Comments

The final published version with discussion and comments from the experts will appear in the January 2018 issue of *Clinical Chemistry*. To view the case and comments online, go to <http://www.clinchem.org/content/vol64/issue1> and follow the link to the Clinical Case Study and Commentaries.

Educational Centers

If you are associated with an educational center and would like to receive the cases and questions 1 month in advance of publication, please email clinchemed@aacc.org.

All previous Clinical Case Studies can be accessed and downloaded online at <https://www.aacc.org/publications/clinical-chemistry/clinical-case-studies>

AACC is pleased to allow free reproduction and distribution of this Clinical Case Study for personal or classroom discussion use. When photocopying, please make sure the DOI and copyright notice appear on each copy.

AACC is a leading professional society dedicated to improving healthcare through laboratory medicine. Its nearly 10,000 members are clinical laboratory professionals, physicians, research scientists, and others involved in developing tests and directing laboratory operations. AACC brings this community together with programs that advance knowledge, expertise, and innovation. AACC is best known for the respected scientific journal, *Clinical Chemistry*, the award-winning patient-centered web site *Lab Tests Online*, and the world's largest conference on laboratory medicine and technology. Through these and other programs, AACC advances laboratory medicine and the quality of patient care.